

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,008	08/07/2006	Toshiharu Suzuki	3749-0112PUS1	7226	
2592 7590 6922/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CHERNYSHEV, OLGA N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1649		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/22/2009	ELECTRONIC .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/577.008 SUZUKI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1649 Olga N. Chernyshev All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Olga N. Chemyshev. (2) MaryAnn Armstrong. (4)____. Date of Interview: 19 May 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: ____ Claim(s) discussed: 6.10-13 and 16-19. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicant requested clarification of the rejections of record in view of the teaching of the specification. Applicant was advised that claim 6 could be allowable if directed to peptides recited in the claims as suggested by Examiner in the last communication of record. The enablement rejection of the claimed method will stand for reasons of record fully explained in the office actions of record... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Olga N. Chernyshev/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office